Houston, we have a problem! These are words that defined a moment and will forever bring to mind the movie Apollo 13. In like manner the recent winds of change blowing from the “dispensational camp” finally indicate a recognition that we have a problem! These winds of change are very encouraging. If, as we at The Sign Ministries believe, the correct answer to the rapture question is a synthesis of the biblically defensible truths contained in pre-, mid-, and posttribulationism— with a refinement of the timing issue— then dispensationalism will have to change in some very important areas. While few dispensationalists of reputation have abandoned pretribulationalism for the synthetic view we espouse (the prewrath position), at least an opportunity for dialogue may be possible sometime in the future. This is already happening in other areas of dispensationalism as indicated by the recent changes made by progressive dispensationalists with regard to the nature of the church and its relationship to the nation of Israel.

In this and the following Parousia newsletter, we shall take a look at dispensationalism. Of particular focus will be the changes in dispensationalism announced in recent publications by progressive dispensationalists and the significance of those changes as they pertain to the possibility for dialogue between pretribbers and prewrathers on the rapture question. The goal of any meaningful discussion on this matter is to find the truth and to unify the body of Christ concerning the timing of the rapture.

continued on page 2
What is Dispensationalism?
Dispensationalism is one of those theories that people seem either to “swear by” or “swear at”; few take a neutral position. From its earliest days, dispensationalism has been misunderstood concerning what it is and whether or not it is necessary for a proper understanding of the Word of God. The term was coined to describe the “expositional and theological annotations” (notes) that appeared in the Scofield Reference Bible of 1909. One will look in vain to find its definition in Webster’s dictionary. Dispensationalism is more or less a theological grid one uses to assist in biblical interpretation. It attempts to give an interpreter a set of glasses through which to view and understand Scripture. Some years ago 3D movies were introduced. In order to view the movie correctly the audience was required to wear special glasses. These glasses made it possible for the audience to view the movie in three dimensions. In three dimensions, things are clearer and more realistic. It’s like the difference between the old black and white televisions and the new, high-definition television sets. Similarly, dispensationalism provides an interpreter with the help to see the Scriptures from an overall perspective of God and His progressive revelation to man. Therefore, the dispensationalist believes that every passage of Scripture, to be best understood, be viewed through one set of these special glasses or dispensations.

Scofield, the father of classical dispensationalism, defined a dispensation as “a period of time during which man is tested in respect to his obedience to some specific revelation of the will of God.” However, in 1965 Dr. Charles C. Ryrie wrote, “... a dispensation is a distinguishable economy in the outworking of God’s purpose.” Unlike Scofield’s definition which is more descriptive in nature, Ryrie attempted to truly define the term. Yet, Ryrie’s definition is circular. It’s like saying “a dispensation is a dispensation.” “Dispensation” and “economy” derive their basic meaning from the same Greek word.

In reality, I believe that a better understanding of a dispensation, is to think of it as an administration. It is popular to speak of the “Clinton administration” or the “Reagan administration” when referring to the period each man was president. When we speak of a president’s administration, we are referring to how he runs or ran the country. He may run it one way his first term but differently his second. In addition, it is generally accepted that a republican administration will run the country differently than a democratic one and vice versa. Therefore, a dispensation refers to God’s particular administration of His creation at a particular time. It gives the reader the context in which God is operating.

This idea is found primarily among the writings of the Apostle Paul, who views God’s different relationships with different peoples as different dispensations or administrations of the same God. Clearly in the history of salvation, there was a time Gentiles were not a focus of God’s plan of salvation. Ephesians, chapter three, explains this situation in light of the new dispensation that has come about which incorporates Gentiles into God’s eternal plan. Paul indicates that this new dispensation was “for ages... hidden in God” but that it is now revealed through Him and the church. Ephesians 3:9 specifically indicates that the relationship between God and man, in light of what Jesus did, is a new dispensation (a new administration). It stands to reason that if the incorporation of Jews and Gentiles into one body is a new dispensation then there must have been at least one other dispensation during which God operated differently.

The Apostle Paul makes reference to four distinct dispensations: “before law,” “law,” “mystery,” and “fullness of time.” He outlines in Galatians 4 the “dispensation of the law” of Moses. During the “dispensation of the law,” Israel operated under the
direct administration of God. They were to live by the law. This involved duties and activities no other peoples had been responsible for until that time period. Ephesians 3:9 details the “dispensation of the mystery.” In this dispensation, Jews and Gentiles are made one in Christ under the administration of the Spirit of God. Very specific laws and regulations governed the Israelites. These laws and regulations are no longer binding upon the church (Rom. 7:6). The “dispensation of the fullness of time” spoken of in Ephesians 1:10 most naturally looks forward to the millennial kingdom that will be under the direct administration of Christ reigning on earth. During this particular time period, the management of humanity will require new rules and regulations given that saved (but not yet glorified) humanity and unsaved humanity are present on the earth under the authority of Christ and His saints. Finally, the period before the “dispensation of the law” of Moses has no particular name connected to it. However, there is a consistent reference to this time period in connection with the fathers—Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. It is the recognition of the different dispensations and the different ways God manages humanity that constitutes dispensationalism.

Dispensationalism gives the reader a set of glasses through which to view and understand the particular passage of Scripture. Can we lose the Holy Spirit today? Does God send evil spirits to harass people? This is just one example of many passages in the Bible that appear contradictory or is generally considered incorrect theologically unless seen in their proper context. How is this passage to be understood? As stated above, dispensationalism gives the reader a set of glasses through which to view and understand the particular passage of Scripture. 1 Samuel 16:14, to be understood correctly, requires the interpreter to look through the lens of the Law and to recognize the principle of progressive revelation (more and more information covering God’s plan for His creation given over a long period of time).

During the Law period, believers could lose the Holy Spirit. King David’s prayer to God concerning the Spirit in Psalm 51:11 supports this conclusion. Everyone was not given the Spirit of God (Isaiah 59:21 and Ezekiel 39:29). Some individuals were given the Spirit for a particular job and when the job was finished the Spirit departed (Exodus 31:3). Unfaithfulness could cause the Spirit to depart from a person (Judges 13:25, 16:20). God was seen as directly responsible for both evil and good spirits as 1 Samuel 16:14 indicates. These were conditions under the Law.

However, under the “dispensation of the mystery”—Jews and Gentiles together—everyone who believes receives the Spirit of God (John 14:16). Once a believer receives the Spirit of God, he cannot lose it (Eph. 1:13-14). Satan came to be seen as responsible for the sending of evil spirits. In the early portions of Old Testament Scripture, God is presented as the cause of all supernatural activity.

What Good is Dispensationalism?
Is this theological grid necessary to properly understand the Word of God? Yes, it is! The Bible does not come with a guide to accurate interpretation. A guide is needed. Let me give you an example: 1 Samuel 16:14 says, “But the Spirit of the Lord departed from Saul, and an evil spirit from the Lord troubled him.” The application of the principles of a normal, natural, and customary interpretation yields the textual meaning, but the theological sense is not always clear unless the context (in this case, the dispensation God is using) is taken into consideration. Why did Saul lose the Holy Spirit?
ent requirements. In one of several places, Scofield wrote, "The point of testing is no longer legal obedience as the condition of salvation, but acceptance or rejection of Christ, with good works as a fruit of salvation."\(^9\) To be fair, Dr. Ryrie insists that Scofield’s words were the result of “one unguarded statement.”\(^10\) However, other dispensational thinkers echoed Scofield’s words. William Evans writes, “This is sometimes called the Age of the Church, or the Church period. The characteristic of this age is that salvation is no longer by legal obedience, but by the personal acceptance of the finished work of Jesus Christ…”\(^11\)

This is an unmistakable contrast between salvation by human works and salvation by faith in Christ’s finished work. Dr. Lewis S. Chafer, the founder and first president of Dallas Theological Seminary is himself accused of teaching two different plans of salvation.\(^12\)

Both modern and progressive dispensationalists\(^13\) have made every effort to state very forthrightly their belief that

The basis of salvation in every age is the death of Christ; the requirement for salvation in every age is faith; the object of faith in every age is God; the content of faith changes in the various dispensations (italics his).\(^14\)

This restatement and clarification on the basis of salvation would prove to be only the first of many changes in classical dispensationalism, since its introduction in the Scofield Reference Bible in 1909. Other significant issues among classical and modern dispensationalists which have subsequently been dropped are (1) the postponed kingdom theory; (2) the notion that the Sermon on the Mount was an interim ethic between the end of the Mosaic Law and the beginning of the Church period; (3)
the insistence that Jesus abolished the entire Mosaic Law; (4) the notion that God relates to the nation of Israel and the Church on the basis of two new covenants; and finally (5) the position that the Church (beginning, during and ending) was unknown to Old Testament writers in any shape, form or fashion.

Progressive dispensationalists have argued convincingly that the kingdom was not postponed, but inaugurated in a way that both accomplishes the salvation of Gentiles and establishes the eternal Son of David at the right hand of the Father; that the Sermon on the Mount was not for the people of Jesus day only, but we too are called to live by its lofty principles; and that Jesus did not abolish the Mosaic Law so as to release us from any consideration of it as a guide for our conduct, rather Christ fulfilled the Law. That is, He lived up to its every expectation and qualified His death as a sufficient payment for our sin.

In this author’s opinion, progressive dispensationalists have made major concessions to their critics. As one who considers himself a progressive dispensationalist, this author realizes the heavy price often required of those who begin to think differently about any of the conclusions in our interpretive system. Dr. Walter C. Kaiser, Jr. when commenting on the bold attempt by progressive dispensationalists to respond to difficult problems facing the system says, “Not too many years ago, such a volume could not even have been imagined, or perhaps not even permitted...”15 Many Christians do not understand the long hours of dialogue and heated debates necessary to make even the slightest changes in the system.

The significance of these issues to classical and modern dispensationalists must not be underestimated. In like manner, there has been helpful movement towards a possible synthesis of the rapture question by progressive dispensationalists in light of these other admissions. Admissions to the effect that the positions previously held were not biblically based, but the concoctions of men—well intentioned men, but men who were wrong, none-the-less.

A Needed Change in Dispensationalism
One conclusion uniformly held by most dispensational premillennialists is a pretrib rapture. This is without a doubt the most serious short coming (i.e. the weak link) of the system in this author’s opinion. Yet, at the same time, it is the most vigorously defended component of the system. Given the difficulty progressive dispensationalists have had in making the genuine gains in biblically defending their position, one is not surprised that the rapture question has not received serious attention. However, as time marches on, this conclusion will give way to a more biblically defensible position. Until then, many dispensationalists will not be able to publicly state their objection to a pretrib rapture without reprisals.

The reason the majority of dispensationalists are pretribulationists is because of a false assumption based on an incorrect conclusion. The basis of the incorrect conclusion is the Sine qua non16 (bottom-line) of dispensationalism. In his book, Dispensationalism Today, Dr. Charles C. Ryrie indicates three aspects that constitute the Sine qua non of dispensationalism.17 Dr. Ryrie offers this summarization:

The essence of dispensationalism, then, is the distinction between Israel and the Church. This grows out of the dispensationalist’s consistent employment of normal or plain interpretation, and it reflects an understanding of the basic purpose of God in all His dealings with mankind as that of glorifying Himself through salvation and other purposes as well.18

Classical and modern dispensationalists do not insist that Israel and the Church are just distinct entities, but two entirely different entities—so different and so distinct that God cannot work with both at the same time—which is an assumption based on an incorrect conclusion drawn from a biblical truth. Dispensational pretribbers insist that the Church be raptured before the Seventieth Week of Daniel.
begins. Thus, the Seventieth Week is seen as the unfinished portion of God’s dealings with the nation Israel which is a different dispensation. To rapture the Church after the Seventieth Week begins is seen as a mixing of the Church and unsaved Israel’s dispensations, as well as putting the Church on earth during God’s wrath since pretribbers insist that the entire Seventieth Week of Daniel is the wrath of God. This whole line of reasoning is based on an incorrect conclusion and flies in the face of Revelation 12:11 that specifically calls this time the wrath of Satan!

The need to maintain a distinction between the unsaved Israel (the nation) and the Church is an accurate conclusion from Scripture. While the New Testament does not explicitly state a distinction between unsaved Israel and the Church (which includes believing Jews), it is implied. With the exception of one disputed passage, they are always identified as separate entities. Paul’s point in Romans 11:25-26 seems rather clear. A partial hardening has happen “to Israel until the fullness of the Gentiles has come in and so all Israel will be saved.” As we shall see later, Luke indicates in Acts a future for ethnic Israel. Consequently, having ethnic Israel and the Church as separate entities as dispensationalism’s sine qua non is not a problem. Kaiser correctly identifies the real problem when he says,

“Dispensationalism was correct in recognizing that Israel and the church were not the same, but it failed when it went beyond this point of distinguishing between the two to say they were distinct and separate.”

In other words, the real problem has to do with an incorrect conclusion drawn from a biblical truth. This is not a matter of semantics. Apples are not oranges and oranges are not apples, but they are fruit. The Church is not Israel and Israel is not the Church, but they both belong to God and God is working with both, at the same time. Paul writes in Romans 11:11,13-14,

“But through their (unsaved Israel) fall, to provoke them (unsaved Israel) to jealousy, salvation has come to the Gentiles...For I speak to your Gentiles, inasmuch as I am an apostle to the Gentiles, I magnify my ministry, if by any means I may provoke to jealousy those who are my flesh (unsaved Israel) and save some of them.”

Paul clearly indicates that God is using saved Gentiles to help bring Jews to salvation. Any notion that God cannot work with unsaved Jews and the Church at the same time certainly is contradicted by Romans 11.

This false assumption (God cannot work with Israel and the Church at the same time) has lead to other assumptions which do not have explicit biblical support. A pretrib rapture certainly fits in this category. It is an assumption based on an incorrect conclusion drawn from a biblical truth. The desire to maintain a clear distinction between unsaved Israel and the Church in all matters great and small is one reason dispensational premillennialists and covenantal premillennialists have not been able to come to a synthesis on the rapture question.

The majority of those who are described as covenant theologians insist that national Israel rejected their Messiah and therefore lost all future opportunities for blessings as a nation. In other words, God does not have a future plan for Israel as a nation. Individual Jews are able to be saved, but there is no future salvation for Israel as a nation. Thus, the Church becomes Israel. Covenant theologians insist that all the promises of God to Israel now belong to the Church. To come to these conclusions, one’s hermeneutic must change from a natural, normal and customary understanding of Scripture, to an spiritual/allegorical understanding.

One can see that the two positions are fundamentally opposed to one another. There can be no synthesis of dispensationalism and covenant theology proper. One is right and one is wrong. Scripture
teaches a future for unsaved Israel (Romans 11:26-32) and the Church of Jesus Christ (1 Thess. 4:13-18). This truth cannot be compromised or sacrificed.

Can a true synthesis of varying dispensational views of Christ’s return for His Church be possible, even though pre- and posttribulationists are on the opposite ends of the spectrum concerning the relationship between Israel and the Church? The answer is a resounding yes. The nature of the church is important to the rapture question. However, dispensational premillennialists draw too sharp a distinction between Israel and the Church. John F. Walvoord in his book, The Rapture Question, states, “...that the rapture question is determined more by ecclesiology than eschatology.” Walvoord err by placing too great an emphasis on ecclesiology (how one views the church), and thus comes to an incorrect conclusion unsupported by Scripture. Covenant premillennialists err by not recognizing a biblical distinction between unsaved Israel and the Church. These imbalances will naturally lead to incorrect conclusions regarding God’s future plans for both unsaved Israel and the Church.

Correctly, progressive dispensationalists have begun to move away from this incorrect conclusion. Blaising and Bock write,

There are important distinctions between Israel and the church in biblical theology, but there are also real theological connections that link them together in ways not expressed previously in dispensational thought.

While Blaising and Bock are soundly pretribulational, they have moved in their thinking. Their movement, while seemingly tiny, is a giant step towards silencing the critics of dispensationalism and providing an avenue for dialogue concerning the rapture question. In the next issue of our newsletter we will examine some new “theological connections” that concern the rapture question. We will take a detailed look at Acts, chapters one through three, which will demonstrate that God not only can but is working with both Israel and the

Can a true synthesis of varying dispensational views of Christ’s return for His Church be possible, even though pre- and posttribulationists are on the opposite ends of the spectrum concerning the relationship between Israel and the Church?
Church; that Daniel’s Seventieth Week does involves both Jews and Gentiles, the Church and Israel at the same time; and that the termination of the Church age is the eschatological Day of the Lord, not the beginning of the Seventieth Week of Daniel.

ENDNOTES

1. For presentation of this position, see the previous edition of the Parousia newsletter (#5).
3. For a fuller discussion concerning a definition of this system, please see Hermeneutics: Principles and Processes of Biblical Interpretation by Henry A. Virkler; Progressive Dispensationalism by Craig A. Blaising and Darrell L. Bock; and Dispensationalism, Israel and the Church, edited by Blaising and Bock.
4. Scofield listed seven such sets of glasses or dispensations: innocence, conscience, human government, promise, law, church, and kingdom.
5. For detailed discussion of the three phases of dispensationalism, i.e., classical, modern and progressive dispensationalism see Craig A. Blaising presentation in Progressive Dispensationalism, pages 21-56. Scofield and men of his generation are considered classical dispensationalists. Walvoord, Ryrie and Pentecost are considered modern dispensationalists. They made changes to the original system. Bock and Blaising are considered progressive dispensationalists because of the radical changes they made to the system.
8. The English term dispensation comes from a Latin word dispensatio which early church fathers used to translate the Greek term oikonomia. Economy is a transliteration of the Greek term oikonomia. Thus for Ryrie to say “a dispensation is an economy” is circular reasoning. The Greek term oikonomos referred to a servant who managed his master’s household. Oikonomia referred to the activities of managing the household. The first term applies to the person and the second term applies to the work the person does. Throughout both the Old and New Testament, the activities (oikonomia) of a steward (oikonomos) always involved the management or administration of something. Whether one was an estate manager, a cook, a city treasurer or a bathhouse overseer, such a one could carry the title oikonomos. Luke 12:41-48 records a parable of our Lord that employs this term. Luke 16:1-13 records a parable that has as its primary focus on the activities (oikonomia) of a steward (oikonomos).
9. This is a note that accompanies John 1:17 in the first edition of the Scofield Reference Bible, p. 1115, note 2.
11. William Evans, Outline Studies of the Bible, ( ), 34.
13. See endnote 5 above.
16. A Latin phrase used by scholars which literally means “without which not,” i.e. that which is a fundamental element of something.
18. ibid., 47.
20. Covenant theology is usually contracted with dispensational theology. Covenant theology focuses the majority of human history towards one covenant—a covenant of grace. They do not see God working through dispensations, but through one covenant. For a discussion of this matter see Henry A. Virkler, Hermeneutics: Principles and Processes of Biblical Interpretation.
22. Blaising and Bock, Dispensationalism, Israel and the Church, 377.
Materials Available

The Rapture Question Answered, Plain and Simple by Robert Van Kampen, an easy-to-read and logical presentation of what the Bible teaches on the timing of Christ’s second return. 210 pages $8.00*

The Sign by Robert Van Kampen, an in-depth theological study of end-times events and the return of Christ. 544 pages, includes 22” x 16” folded Panorama chart (see below for rolled chart). $12.00*

The Sign: A Personal Study Guide by Robert Van Kampen & Roger Best, the companion study guide and workbook to the bestselling study on prophecy. 215 pages $8.00*

The Fourth Reich An End-Times Novel by Robert Van Kampen, intrigue and romance built upon the biblical framework of prophecy make for a compelling, fast-paced portrayal of the last days. 445 pages $10.00*

The Pre-Wrath Rapture of the Church by Marvin Rosenthal, a fresh look at the biblical prophecies concerning end-times events. 319 pages $10.00*

Examining The Pre-Wrath Rapture of the Church by Marvin Rosenthal & Kevin Howard, a personal study guide to The Pre-Wrath Rapture of the Church. 142 pages $8.00*

A Panorama of the End Times Chart by Robert Van Kampen & Tom Allen, a beautifully illustrated, full-color wall chart depicting the events of the end times. Giant-size 46” x 33”, rolled, and suitable for framing. (A 22” x 16” folded copy is included in The Sign) $10.00*

The Hope of Christ’s Second Coming by S. P. Tregelles LL.D., written in 1864, this amazing book charts an exploratory study of end-times events from a nineteenth-century perspective. 105 pages $5.00*

Study Transparencies by Roger Best, a set of six mounted transparencies suitable for presentations and lectures highlights several topics relating to the end times. $12.00*

The Olivet Discourse, newly edited and more concise, this is an eight-tape audio cassette series presented by Robert Van Kampen on Christ’s teachings in Matthew 24. $25.00*

An Overview of End Time Events, a set of two audio tapes that provides an introductory look at the End Times and Christ’s second coming. $6.00*

La Señal by Robert Van Kampen, the Spanish translation of The Sign. 542 pages, includes folded Panorama chart in Spanish $8.00*

Parousia, the quarterly newsletter of The Sign Ministries. Free

Zion’s Fire, a magazine on Israel and prophecy that also presents Christian truth to Jewish people and the Jewishness of the Bible to Christian people. Free one-year gift subscription

The Sign Ministries is also excited about several new translations that are in production and will be available:

Răpirea Bisericii Răspunsuri, Clare și Simple, the Romanian translation of The Rapture Question Answered, Plain and Simple.

La Cuestión del Rapto Resuelta, Clara y Sencillamente, the Spanish translation of The Rapture Question Answered, Plain and Simple.

Просто и доступно — О восхищении церкви, the Russian translation of The Rapture Question Answered, Plain and Simple.

Una risposta semplice e chiara sul rapimento della Chiesa, the Italian translation of The Rapture Question Answered, Plain and Simple.

And Mandarin and Telugu translations of The Rapture Question Answered, Plain and Simple are also being planned. Telugu is one of the major languages of India.

* Suggested donation price.
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Fact & Fiction

Combining international intrigue and romance with close adherence to biblical prophecy, The Fourth Reich gives an intense, fast-paced, and dramatic portrayal of the end-times. It is both a compelling story for fans of apocalyptic fiction and an insightful look at what will happen in the last days.

Available through The Sign Ministries for $10.00.
To order, use the form at left.

The Master’s Mission
Training Missionaries for Nearly 20 Years
Call 1-800-419-8618 for more information.
Conference and Seminar Ministry Continue to Expand

We are grateful to the Lord for the way our ministry has grown in this past year. We had the opportunity to teach the biblical prewrath position concerning the coming of Christ in many places both here in America and abroad. God is opening the doors for even greater ministry possibilities in 1998. Robert Van Kampen, Charles Cooper and Roger Best will be conducting one-day Parousia Seminars in various cities, the first being Houston, Texas on January 17th. Three-day Parousia Conferences have been planned for Holland, Michigan April 30 through May 2 and also for the Chicago area in the fall of 1998. The three-day conferences will also feature Marv Rosenthal, Executive Director of Zion’s Hope, Inc.

In February Roger Best and Joe Dockery will spend the month in India where they will minister in six different communities. They will also be in the Cayman Islands in March for a church conference. Roger will then be in the Philippines for a series of conferences in March and later again in October. Finally, a symposium for pastors is scheduled for June in England.

In addition to city-wide events and international presentations, The Sign Ministries also already has a number of local church conferences scheduled for 1998. These conferences are truly a blessing, and we look forward to working with pastors, helping them in teaching this important area of doctrine.

If you have a desire for us to come to your area or church with a seminar, conference, or presentation, give us a call and we would be delighted to arrange such a meeting with you.

THE FOURTH REICH

The Fourth Reich, the end-times novel written by Robert Van Kampen, was released this past October and has garnered a favorable response from its readers. Some of the many comments: “I have probably read five fiction books in my whole life. I read The Fourth Reich in four sittings; it was fascinating.” “The Fourth Reich was a blessing.” “I stayed up late because I just could not put it down.” “It takes the biblical events of the end times and makes them very practical.”

The Fourth Reich is must reading! You may purchase a copy of it at your local bookstore or by calling our ministry. It is one of those books that you will want to pass on to others to read.
Letters

Thank you for the most recent newsletter with the article “Legs to Stand On.” Excellent!... Thank you very much for opening my eyes to what I believe is the truth of the Rapture.

V.R., TEXAS

I’m writing because I read The Fourth Reich and found it compelling. Thank God for your Holy Spirit insight into prophetic truth!... The thesis of a Pre-Wrath Rapture of the church is consistent with the Bible. Nonetheless, it is only by God’s grace and Holy Spirit that we can come to that conclusion.

M.H., FLORIDA

I bought your book The Rapture Question Answered recently from the M.V. Doulos when it was visiting Cairns, Australia, and have thoroughly enjoyed reading it. The common denominator hermeneutic you used encouraged me so... I look forward to the opportunity to correspond with you and search the Scriptures.

B.T., AUSTRALIA

I truly appreciate your writings. They changed me from a pretribber to a prewrather. It gave much new meaning to why God gave us these prophecies.

D.H., EMAIL

My hat goes off to Mr. Van Kampen for his intricate, wonderful work in The Sign. It’s because of the diligence and determination of people like him (and the rest of those in your ministry) that people such as myself may learn about the most important upcoming chain of events ever to face the world. Thanks for setting the record straight in the most logical way I’ve ever come across.

B.P., ILLINOIS
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Just a few of the hundreds of messages that we’ve received at The Sign Ministries.